

EXECUTIVE SECRETARIAT**Routing Slip**

TO:

| | | ACTION | INFO | DATE | INITIAL |
|----------|-----------|---------------------|------|------|---------|
| 1 | DCI | | | | |
| 2 | DDC | | | | |
| 3 | EXDIR | | | | |
| 4 | D/ICS | | | | |
| 5 | DDI | | | | |
| 6 | DDA | | | | |
| 7 | DDO | | | | |
| 8 | DDS&T | | | | |
| 9 | Chm/NIC | | | | |
| 10 | GC | | | | |
| 11 | IG | | | | |
| 12 | Compt | | | | |
| 13 | D/EE0 | | | | |
| 14 | D/Pers | | | | |
| 15 | D/OEA | X | | | |
| 16 | C/PAD/OEA | | | | |
| 17 | SA/IA | | | | |
| 18 | AO/DCI | | | | |
| 19 | C/IPD/OIS | | | | |
| 20 | | | | | |
| 21 | | | | | |
| 22 | | | | | |
| SUSPENSE | | 8 June 1983 Date | | | |

Remarks:

Per SA/DCI please prepare response
for DCI's signature.

Executive Secretary
3 June 1983

Date


3-37 (10-81)

STAT

0310

MEMORANDUM FOR:

SA 1 Dec 1



This is one I
think the Director
would want to handle
himself.




5/19/82

Date

STAT


STAT

Spec Secy 35m



STAT

*Let's have
OE Answered to this
Thy*



STAT

BARRY GOLDWATER
ARIZONA

United States Senate

WASHINGTON, D.C. 20510

COMMITTEES:

INTELLIGENCE, CHAIRMAN
 ARMED SERVICES
 TACTICAL WARFARE, CHAIRMAN
 PREPAREDNESS
 STRATEGIC AND THEATRE NUCLEAR FORCES
 COMMERCE, SCIENCE, AND TRANSPORTATION
 COMMUNICATIONS, CHAIRMAN
 AVIATION
 SCIENCE, TECHNOLOGY, AND SPACE
 INDIAN AFFAIRS

May 16, 1983

The Honorable William Casey
 Director
 Central Intelligence Agency
 Washington, D. C. 20520

Dear Bill:

After [redacted] returned my letter to her, a copy of which I sent you, and asked me to strengthen it here and there, which I did, and it never appeared in the paper.

STAT

I wrote her the enclosed letter today, inquiring why. I did it in a very friendly way. I think you'll agree. If you are on a friendly basis with her, and she's an extremely friendly woman, it might not hurt for you to ask her the same question. I don't like to assume or make charges where they're not warranted, but I don't believe the Washington Post has shown any interest in doing anything but heap criticism on the intelligence family without even making suggestions or printing articles in the intelligence family's defense.

I pray that I'm wrong in this because it would pretty close to disastrous to have both The New York Times and The Washington Post opposed to the improvement, or even the existence of intelligence gathering in this country. But, the fact remains.

I'd like to hear from you about this.

Sincerely,

Barry
 Barry Goldwater

